



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/575,104	05/19/2000	Takanori Nishimura	SONY-T0571	9384	
22850	7590 05/12/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BASEHOAR, ADAM L		
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	STREET RIA, VA 22314	- -		PAPER NUMBER	
			2178		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/575,104	NISHIMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Adam L Basehoar	2178		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
·	Adam L Basehoar	2178						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 05/25/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO	WANCE.						
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 1								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	,	TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•							
(c)⊠ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·		_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>35-53</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		. I . At & A I 211						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).						
_		About 7						

STEPHEN HONG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Part of Paper No. 20050509

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended limitations of the independent claims 1, 8, and 15, change the scope of the claims via th claim dependencies and would thus require further search and consideration for the dependent claims.